

## Guidance Number: 029

The key differences between a process using CQV and the conventional approach are given below.

<b>Aspect</b>	<b>Conventional Process Validation</b>	<b>CQV</b>
<b>Manufacturing process</b>	Fixed: validation on a small number of initial full scale batches. Focus on reproducibility.	Adjustable / flexible within design space. Continuous quality verification within design space. Focus on control strategy and robustness.
<b>Process controls</b>	In process tests primarily for “go/no go” decisions. Analysis typically has slow response compared to process timeline.	Monitoring, measuring, analyzing and adjusting (if necessary) the critical aspects of manufacturing steps /unit operations in real time.
<b>Control strategy</b>	Product quality controlled primarily by in-process and end product testing.	Some quality controls shifted upstream, with possibility of real-time release or reduced end-product testing.
<b>Validation Approach</b>	Typically prospective for a new process	May be concurrent for a new process

**Figure 1: The Four Elements of CQV**

The sequence of documentation needed to support implementation of CQV is summarized in the flowchart in Appendix 1, and each are further described below.



*Figure 1*  
The Four  
Elements of CQV