## Summary - Evaluation of Changes for Potential Impact on Process Validation

This guidance applies to validated processes and identifies examples of changes for which examining the validation impact of a change should be considered. This evaluation should include assessment of the validation impact of the change. Major changes require validation, while documented evaluation of minor changes are typically documented using the site change management system.

Evaluating proposed changes to a process shall include a documented assessment of the validation impact of proposed changes. Assessment of the validation impact of minor changes is typically documented using the site's change management work process.

Every proposed change should be assessed to determine the potential impact of the change and to consider the potential impact to product quality from the adopted change.

Evaluation of the quality impact of the change should take place as close as practical to the step in the process where the change was made. The system owner or Technical Services may typically propose the change and should participate in assessing the impact of the change. The Quality organization must be included in approval of the assessment of impact of the change to a validated process.

| Type of Major Change                          | Points to consider with this change  |
|---|--|
| A change to the API or DP manufacturing       |  |
| process or technology, such as:               |  |
|   |  |
| • Change in critical unit operations (e.g.,   | Validation of part or all of process is  |
| addition, deletion, change in order of steps, | recommended. Process validation is needed if a   |
| repetition of an existing unit operation on a | change in the process is expected to have  |
| routine basis);                               | measurable impact on product quality or process  |
|   | performance, as determined by risk assessment.   |
| • Change of source or specification of a      | Is the change supported by data from a   |
| critical material (e.g., regulatory           | development lab? Is the process still capable of   |
| intermediate or API starting material);       | providing good quality product if a material   |
| • Modified operating conditions (a g time     | specification is relaxed? Fightening of a  |
| • Modified operating conditions (e.g. time,   | specification may not require variation as this will typically not challenge the conspility of the |
| that impact COAs:                             | will typically not channelige the capability of the  |
| that impact CQAS,                             | Soo also avample 1 in the text   |
| • Change that could impact accentable         |  |
| microbiological quality of the product        |  |
| incrosionogical quanty of the product.        |  |

## Types of Major Changes and Points to Consider with this Change

## **Read More**